KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman **GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN** SANDRA D. KENNEDY **BOB STUMP**



ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION



2010 JUL -6 A 11: 09

RECEIVED



DATE:

JULY 6, 2010

DOCKET NO .:

W-02192A-09-0531

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Sarah N. Harpring. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY INC. (RATES)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

JULY 15, 2010

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Open Meeting to be held on:

JULY 27, 2010 and JULY 28, 2010

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602) 542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Director's Office at (602) 542-3931.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUL - 6 2010

HOCKETED BY

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 www.azcc.gov

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 COMMISSIONERS 3 KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman **GARY PIERCE** PAUL NEWMAN 5 SANDRA D. KENNEDY **BOB STUMP** 6 7 DOCKET NO. W-02192A-09-0531 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A RATE INCREASE. DECISION NO. 9 **ORDER** 10 Open Meeting July 27 and 28, 2010 11 Phoenix, Arizona BY THE COMMISSION: 12 This case involves an application for a permanent rate increase, filed with the Arizona 13 14 Corporation Commission ("Commission") on November 18, 2009, by Little Park Water Company Inc. ("Little Park"), a Class E water utility providing water utility service to approximately 71 15 customers in a service area between Sedona and the Village of Oak Creek, in Yavapai County. Little 16 Park's application uses a test year ("TY") of July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009. Little Park's 17 current rates were approved in Decision No. 57212 (January 16, 1991). Little Park has accepted 18 19 Staff's recommendations in this case. 20 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 21 Commission finds, concludes, and orders that: 22 23 FINDINGS OF FACT **Background** 24 Little Park is a Class E public service corporation providing water utility service to 25 1. approximately 71 customers in a service area between Sedona and the Village of Oak Creek, in 26

2. Little Park is a C corporation wholly owned by Big Park Water Company ("Big

Yavapai County. Little Park's service area is approximately one-half square mile in size.

27

Park"), another public service corporation.

- 3. Little Park's water system has two active wells yielding a combined 128 gallons per minute ("GPM"); two arsenic treatment systems; three storage tanks with a combined capacity of 27,600 gallons; four booster pumps; two pressure tanks; and a distribution system serving 71 metered customers and 29 standard hydrants. Little Park's system is also interconnected with Big Park's system with an 8" x 2" master meter. The Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") concluded that Little Park's production and storage capacities are adequate to serve its present customer base and reasonable growth. ¹
- 4. Of Little Park's 71 current customers, 33 are served by 5/8" x 3/4" meters, 10 are served by 3/4" meters, 27 are served by 1" meters, and one is served by a 4" meter. The average and median monthly consumption levels of Little Park's residential 5/8" x 3/4" customers are 15,850 gallons and 9,167 gallons, respectively.
- 5. Little Park reported 13,516,000 gallons pumped/purchased and 11,617,000 gallons sold for the TY. Little Park reported that 893,000 gallons of unsold water were attributable to leaks and flushing of mains and that 229,200 gallons of unsold water were attributable to discharge and flushing of sand for operation of Well #1. As a result, Staff calculated water loss of 5.7 percent, which is within Staff's recommended maximum threshold of 10-percent water loss.
- 6. Staff's Consumer Services Section database shows one complaint filed against Little Park between January 1, 2007, and February 17, 2010. Staff reports that the complaint has been resolved and closed.
- 7. Staff's Compliance Section database shows no outstanding compliance issues for Little Park.
 - 8. Little Park is current on its property and sales tax payments.
 - 9. Little Park is in good standing with the Commission's Corporations Division.
- 10. Little Park is not located in an Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR") designated Active Management Area. ADWR reported to Staff that Little Park is in compliance with

Little Park has experienced only limited growth, increasing from 62 customers in 2003 to 70 customers during the TY. Little Park added its 71st customer, a local school served by a 4" meter, post-TY.

ADWR's requirements governing water providers and/or community water systems.

- 11. According to an Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") Drinking Water Compliance Status Report dated October 30, 2009, Little Park has no major deficiencies and is currently delivering water that meets the water quality standards required by Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") Title 18, Chapter 4.
- 12. Little Park is subject to mandatory participation in ADEQ's Monitoring Assistance Program ("MAP").
 - 13. Little Park has an approved curtailment plan tariff on file with the Commission
 - 14. Little Park has an approved backflow prevention tariff on file with the Commission.

Procedural History

- 15. On November 18, 2009, Little Park filed an application for a permanent rate increase, using a TY of July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009. Little Park reported unaudited TY operating revenues of \$42,364 and an operating loss of \$13,389. Little Park proposed pro forma adjusted TY revenue of \$64,564² and an adjusted operating loss of \$19,320.³ Little Park requested an increase in revenues of \$47,672, or 112.53 percent over actual unaudited TY revenues, for total annual operating revenues of \$112,236.
- 16. On December 7, 2009, Little Park filed an affidavit stating that notice of the rate application had been mailed to all of its customers on November 16, 2009. The copy of notice accompanying the affidavit stated that Little Park had realized an operating loss of \$26,500 during the TY and was requesting a revenue increase of \$47,460 or 62.84 percent of total revenues.
- 17. On December 8, 2009, a Procedural Order was issued requiring Little Park to provide additional prescribed notice to its customers because its original notice contained inaccurate information and could be misleading.
 - 18. On December 18, 2009, Staff issued a Letter of Sufficiency, classifying Little Park as

The pro forma adjustment was made to reflect the annual revenues from Verde Valley School, a 4"-meter customer that came onto service post-TY. The adjustment was based on the School's monthly usage for August through October 2009.

³ Little Park proposed expense adjustments including \$10,000 in rate case expense normalized over four years, additional depreciation expense due to Little Park's arsenic treatment plant completed in early 2009, additional property tax expense, and an income tax credit.

8

10

11 12

14

15

16

13

17 18

20

19

22

21

24

25

27

28

26

a Class E water system.

- On December 23, 2009, Little Park filed an affidavit stating that notice of the rate 19. application had been mailed to all of its customers on December 17, 2009. The copy of notice accompanying the affidavit showed that the notice complied with the requirements of the Procedural Order of December 8, 2009.
 - On December 23, 2009, Little Park filed a revised application page. 20.
- 21. On February 18, 2010, Staff filed its Staff Report, recommending approval of Little Park's application for a rate increase, using Staff's recommended rates and charges.
- On February 24, 2010, Staff filed a Notice of Errata to correct its recommended 22. service line and meter installation charges.
- 23. On February 25, 2010, Little Park filed a request for an extension of time to respond to the Staff Report. Little Park asserted that Staff was amenable to the extension.
- 24. On February 26, 2010, a Procedural Order was issued granting Little Park an extension and extending the Commission's time frame in this matter by 14 days.
- 25. On March 16, 2010, Little Park filed its Objections and Responses to Staff Report, in which Little Park disagreed with Staff's plant-in-service adjustments, accumulated depreciation adjustments, rate case expense adjustments, depreciation expense calculations, operating margin recommendation, calculation of a median customer bill under Little Park's proposed rates, and rate design. Little Park included supporting schedules, but did not provide additional documentation to support its position, such as invoices for disputed plant items or rate case expenses or excerpts to support its assertions related to generally accepted accounting principle ("GAAP") requirements.
- 26. On April 5, 2010, a Procedural Order was issued requiring Staff to file a detailed response to Little Park's Objections and Responses to Staff Report, requiring Little Park to file any response that it may have to Staff's detailed response, and extending the Commission's time frame in this matter by 30 days.
- 27. On April 16, 2010, Staff filed a request for an extension of time to file its detailed response, as Staff and Little Park had agreed to meet regarding the disputed issues. Staff stated that Little Park did not object to the extension requested or to any corresponding extension of the

Commission's time frame.

- 28. On April 19, 2010, a Procedural Order was issued granting Staff's request for an extension, extending Little Park's response deadline accordingly, and extending the Commission's time frame in this matter by 21 days.
- 29. On May 10, 2010, Staff filed a request for a one-day extension of time to file its response.
- 30. On May 11, 2010, Staff filed its detailed response, which revised a number of Staff's recommendations in light of additional information received from Little Park.
- 31. On May 27, 2010, Little Park filed a letter stating that Little Park accepts Staff's recommendations contained in Staff's filing of May 11, 2010.
- 32. Between February 4, 2010, and June 7, 2010, comments were filed from 21 current customers and 1 future customer, all objecting to Little Park's requested rate increase as excessive. Most of the customer comments refer to the approximately 112-percent increase in revenue originally requested by Little Park.

Ratemaking

33. Little Park's current rates and charges and Staff's recommended rates and charges, which Little Park has accepted, are as follows:

18	MONTHLY USAGE CHARGE:		Staff
19			Recommended
		Present	<u>& Co.</u>
20	·	Rates	Accepted
- 1	5/8" x 3/4" Meter (All Classes)	\$ 15.00	\$ 16.00
21	³ / ₄ " Meter (All Classes)	22.50	24.00
22	1" Meter (All Classes)	37.50	40.00
22	1-1/2" Meter (All Classes)	75.00	80.00
23	2" Meter (All Classes)	100.00	128.00
	3" Meter (All Classes)	200.00	256.00
24	4" Meter (All Classes)	400.00	400.00
	6" Meter (All Classes)	600.00	800.00
25	8" Meter	N/A	1,280.00
26	Standpipe (Construction, Bulk)	Not Tariffed	None
27	Water Included:	1,000 Gallons	None

1	COMMODITY RATES (Per 1,000	Gallons):	
2	All Meter Sizes		
3	Per 1,000 Gallons Over Included:	\$2.00	
4	1" and Smaller Meters (Residential)		\$1.70
5	1 to 3,000 Gallons 3,001 to 10,000 Gallons		2.60
6	Over 10,000 Gallons		3.10
7	1" Meter (Non-Residential)		\$2.60
8	1 to 32,000 Gallons Over 32,000 Gallons		3.10
9	1 ½" Meter (All Classes)		-
10	1 to 106,000 Gallons		\$2.60 3.10
11	Over 106,000 Gallons		3.10
12	2" Meter (All Classes) 1 to 195,000 Gallons		\$2.60
13	Over 195,000 Gallons		3.10
14	3" Meter (All Classes)		
	1 to 434,000 Gallons		\$2.60 3.10
15	Over 434,000 Gallons		5.10
16	4" Meter (All Classes)		\$2.60
17	1 to 545,000 Gallons Over 545,000 Gallons		3.10
18	6" Meter (All Classes)		
19	1 to 755,000 Gallons		\$2.60
20	Over 755,000 Gallons		3.10
21	8" Meter (All Classes)		\$2.60
22	1 to 910,000 Gallons Over 910,000 Gallons		3.10
23	Standpipe, Bulk Water		
24	All Usage	Not Tariffed	\$3.10
25			
26			
27			
28			

SERVICE LINE AND METER INSTALLATION CHARGES:

(Refundable pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-405)

2		

4

5

7

8

9

	Present	Staff Rec	<u>ommended</u>	& Co. Acce	ptec
en e		Service			
	Total	Line	Meter	<u>Total</u>	
	Charge	Charge	Charge	<u>Charge</u>	
5/8" x 3/4" Meter	\$ 300.00	\$445.00	\$155.00	\$600.00	
³ / ₄ " Meter	325.00	445.00	255.00	700.00	
1" Meter	350.00	Cost	Cost	Cost	
1-1/2" Meter	500.00	Cost	Cost	Cost	
2" Meter	675.00	Cost	Cost	Cost	
3" Meter	875.00	Cost	Cost	Cost	
4" Meter	1,500.00	Cost	Cost	Cost	
6" Meter	3,200.00	Cost	Cost	Cost	

		Present	Staff
10	CEDITICE CHADCES.	Rates	Recommended
	SERVICE CHARGES: Establishment	\$20.00	\$20.00
11		\$25.00	\$40.00
12	Establishment (After Hours)	\$20.00	\$20.00
12	Reconnection (Delinquent)	\$30.00	\$30.00
13	Meter Test (If Correct)	ψ50.00 *	*
13	Deposit		*
14	Deposit Interest	**	**
1-1	Re-Establishment (within 12 mos.)		
15	NSF Check	\$15.00	\$15.00
10	Deferred Payment (per month)	1.50%	Not Included
16	Meter Re-Read (If Correct)	\$15.00	\$15.00
	Late Payment Penalty (per month)	\$5.00	1.50%
17	Moving Customer Meter (Customer Request)	Not Tariffed	Not Included
		Not Tariffed ⁴	\$50.00
12	After Hours Service Charge	1,00 100111100	•

MONTHLY SERVICE CHARGE FOR FIRE SPRINKLER:

All Meter Sizes Not Included ***

* Per Commission rule A.A.C. R14-2-403(B).

** Number of months off system times monthly minimum, per Commission rule A.A.C. R14-2-403(D).

*** 2% of monthly minimum for a comparably sized meter connection, but no less than \$10.00 per month. The service charge for fire sprinkler service is only applicable for service lines separate and distinct from the primary water service line.

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

34. Little Park proposed an original cost rate base ("OCRB") of \$167,213, which it asserted is also its fair value rate base ("FVRB").

26

27

Little Park showed a current \$25.00 after hours service charge in its application, as revised, but there is no authorization for such a charge in Decision No. 57212, and it is not included in Little Park's tariff.

- 35. Staff determined that Little Park's FVRB is equivalent to its OCRB and is \$169,996, which reflects an overall increase of \$2,782 from Little Park's proposed OCRB. Staff's adjustments to Little Park's OCRB reduced plant in service by \$1,815, primarily to adjust account balances consistent with Little Park's last rate case and reflect only supported plant additions since that rate case; reduced accumulated depreciation by \$4,496 to reflect Staff's calculation of accumulated depreciation expense based on Staff's adjustments to plant; and increased cash working capital by \$101 to reflect Staff's calculation of cash working capital based on Staff's recommendations. Little Park has accepted Staff's recommended OCRB/FVRB.
- 36. We find that Staff's adjustments to Little Park's OCRB are reasonable and appropriate. We further find that Little Park's FVRB is equivalent to its OCRB and is \$169,996.
- 37. Little Park reported actual unaudited TY revenues of \$42,364, which it adjusted to \$64,564 through a pro forma adjustment of \$22,200 to reflect estimated revenues from Verde Valley School. Verde Valley School came onto service after the close of the TY, and Little Park based the adjustment on Verde Valley's usage for August through October 2009. Little Park initially proposed adjusted TY operating expenses of \$83,884 and a TY operating loss of \$19,320. Using the FVRB adopted herein, this would result in a return on rate base of negative 11.36 percent. Little Park subsequently adjusted its TY operating expenses downward to \$62,246,5 which would result in operating income of \$2,318 or a return on rate base of 1.36 percent.
- 38. Staff determined that Little Park had adjusted TY revenues of \$64,700; adjusted TY operating expenses of \$61,018; and TY operating income of \$3,682. This represents a return on rate base of 2.17 percent.
- 39. Staff increased Little Park's adjusted TY revenues by \$136 to reflect metered water revenue per Little Park's submitted bill count. Little Park has accepted Staff's adjustment to TY revenues. We find that Staff's adjusted TY revenue figure is reasonable and appropriate, and we adopt it.
 - 40. Staff decreased Little Park's adjusted TY operating expenses by \$1,228 overall,

Little Park adjusted repairs and maintenance by (\$5,192), water testing by (\$97), rate case expense by (\$625), depreciation by (\$21,228), property tax by (\$221), and income tax by \$5,725.

resulting in a TY operating expense figure of \$61,018. Staff's adjustments to operating expenses include an increase of \$189 in outside services to eliminate a non-recurring credit; an increase of \$625 in rate case expense to reflect Staff's determinations that \$7,500 is a reasonable rate case expense for Little Park and that a three-year normalization period should be used; a decrease of \$2,404 in depreciation expense based on Staff's recommended plant in service; and an increase of \$361 in income tax to reflect Staff's calculation of the tax requirement ensuing from Staff's adjusted TY operating income. Little Park has accepted Staff's recommended adjustments to Little Park's TY operating expenses. We find that Staff's adjustments to Little Park's TY operating expenses are reasonable and appropriate, and we adopt Staff's adjusted TY operating expense figure of \$61,018.

- 41. Little Park proposed total operating revenue of \$89,122, an increase of \$24,558, or 38.03 percent, over its adjusted TY operating revenue of \$64,564. Using the TY operating expenses and FVRB adopted herein, this would result in operating income of \$28,104 and a rate of return of 16.53 percent.
- 42. Staff recommends total operating revenue of \$81,915; an increase of \$17,215, or 26.61 percent, over Staff's adjusted TY operating revenue of \$64,700. Using Staff's total operating expense figure of \$64,619 (calculated to reflect the increased income tax from the increased revenue), this would result in operating income of \$17,296 and a rate of return of 10.17 percent. Little Park has accepted Staff's recommended revenue requirement of \$81,915. We find that Staff's recommended revenue requirement is reasonable and appropriate, and we adopt it.
- 43. Little Park has accepted Staff's recommended rates and charges. Staff's recommended rates and charges would increase the monthly bill for a residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter and median usage of 9,167 gallons from \$31.33 to \$37.13,6 an increase of \$5.80 or 18.51 percent. For a residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter and average usage of 15,850 gallons, Staff's recommended rates and charges would increase the monthly bill from \$44.70 to \$57.44,7 for an increase of \$12.74 or 28.50 percent.

using a \$15.00 minimum charge instead of the \$16.00 minimum charge recommended in Staff's rate design.

In its typical bill analysis schedule, Staff stated that this bill would be \$36.13. The discrepancy resulted from Staff's using a \$15.00 minimum charge instead of the \$16.00 minimum charge recommended in Staff's rate design.
In its typical bill analysis schedule, Staff stated that this bill would be \$56.44. The discrepancy resulted from Staff's

44. In its application, Little Park initially requested a monthly minimum charge for "Standpipe (Construction, Bulk)" water based on meter size along with a \$4.28 commodity rate for "Standpipe, Bulk Water." Subsequently, Little Park adopted Staff's position and eliminated its request for a monthly minimum charge for bulk water. Staff recommends adoption of a "Standpipe, Bulk Water" commodity rate of \$3.10 per 1,000 gallons, with no monthly minimum charge authorized. The record in this case does not establish that Little Park had any bulk water sales in the TY, either through a multi-user standpipe or through an individually assigned hydrant meter. Nor does the record establish that Little Park currently has any such sales. Little Park does, however, have a number of fire hydrants on its system. Because Little Park could add a standpipe to its system at any time, if necessary to support its service area, and could at any time be approached by a construction company or other entity desiring to purchase bulk water through an individually assigned hydrant meter, it is appropriate to ensure that Little Park's rates accommodate the provision of such services.

- 45. Recent Commission decisions have recognized that it is appropriate to allow a monthly minimum charge for individually assigned hydrant meters to recognize the demand that these meters place on the system and to allow recovery of administrative costs that are not fully recovered through commodity rates when the meters have been assigned but have no usage for a given period. Thus, we find that it is appropriate to authorize a monthly minimum charge for individually assigned hydrant meters, according to the meter size of the hydrant meter, and to require Little Park to charge customers the tiered commodity rates adopted herein for their water usage through such individually assigned hydrant meters, based on meter size.
- 46. In addition, we find that it is appropriate to authorize Little Park to assess the Staff-recommended \$3.10 "Standpipe, Bulk Water" commodity charge for all water obtained through an unassigned hydrant meter that is used as a standpipe and available to numerous entities, and to prohibit Little Park from assessing a monthly minimum charge for such usage. In the rate design adopted herein, we will refer to this as a standpipe rate.

It is more appropriate that these costs be incurred by the individual customers that cause them than that they be spread over the entire customer base by increasing rates elsewhere to compensate.

We find that Staff's recommended monthly minimum charges and commodity rates,

Staff's schedule of service charges does not include a monthly deferred payment

We find that Staff's recommended service charges are reasonable and appropriate, and

Little Park and Staff have agreed upon service line and meter installation charges for

charge or a charge for moving a customer's meter upon the customer's request. We find that it is

appropriate to allow Little Park to continue charging 1.50 percent per month for deferred payments

and to allow Little Park to assess its costs when it moves a customer's meter upon the customer's

request. These are typically authorized service charges, and it is reasonable and appropriate to

the two smallest meter sizes and upon charging customers "at cost" for larger meter sizes. The

agreed upon charges for the two smallest meter sizes are within Staff's customary range of service

line and meter installation charges based on typical costs for service lines and meters. Little Park

requested the "at cost" for larger meter sizes because of the costs of excavating in the Sedona area

due to rocks. The agreed upon service line and meter installation charges for the two smallest meter

sizes are reasonable and appropriate, and we will adopt them. Likewise, it is reasonable and

appropriate to authorize Little Park to charge its customers "at cost" for larger meter sizes, and we

modified as described above, are just and reasonable and should be adopted.

we will adopt them, along with the additional service charges described above.

47.

48.

49.

50.

authorize Little Park to assess them.

6 7

8

9 10

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Staff Recommendations

will do so.

- 51. Staff recommends the following:
 - (a) That Staff's recommended rates and charges be approved;
- (b) That Little Park be authorized to collect from its customers a proportionate share of any privilege, sales, or use tax, as provided for in A.A.C. R14-2-409(D);
- (c) That Little Park be required to file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within 30 days after the decision in this matter, a tariff schedule of its new rates and charges; and
 - (d) That Little Park be ordered to use the depreciation rates delineated in Table H-

1 of the Engineering Report portion of the February 18, 2010, Staff Report in this matter.

Resolution

- 52. As stated previously, we are adopting Staff's recommended monthly minimum charges and commodity rates, but are additionally requiring that individually assigned hydrant meter customers be assessed a monthly minimum charge, according to meter size, along with Staff's recommended tiered commodity rates for the meter size and are designating Staff's recommended "Standpipe, Bulk Water" commodity rate as a standpipe rate applicable to hydrant meters that are not individually assigned. We are also retaining Little Park's 1.50 percent per month deferred payment charge and are authorizing Little Park to charge its customers the cost of moving a meter upon customer request.
- 53. Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact No. 51, as modified herein, are just and reasonable and in the public interest, and we are adopting them.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Little Park is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-250 and 40-251.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Little Park and the subject matter of the application.
- 3. Notice of Little Park's application and this matter was provided in accordance with the law.
 - 4. Little Park's FVRB is \$169,996.
- 5. The rates, charges, and conditions of service established herein are just and reasonable and in the public interest.
- 6. It is just and reasonable and in the public interest to require Little Park to comply with the conditions described in Findings of Fact No. 51(c) and (d).

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Little Park Water Company Inc. is hereby authorized and directed to file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, on or before August 1, 2010, a revised tariff setting forth the following rates and charges:

1	MONTHLY USAGE CHARGE:			
2	5/8" x 3/4" Meter (All Classes)	\$ 16.00		
	3/4" Meter (All Classes)	24.00		
3	1" Meter (All Classes)	40.00		
	1-1/2" Meter (All Classes)	80.00		
4	2" Meter (All Classes)	128.00		
ے	3" Meter (All Classes)	256.00		
5	4" Meter (All Classes)	400.00		
6	6" Meter (All Classes)	800.00		
Ĭ	8" Meter	1,280.00		
7	Hydrant Meter (Individually Assigned)	By Meter Size		
	Standpipe (Not Individually Assigned)	None		
8				
9	COMMODITY RATES (Per 1,000 Gallons):			
	(Applicable to All Classes as Specified, Excep	ot Standpipe)		
10	1" and Smaller Meters (Residential)	\$1.70		
	1 to 3,000 Gallons	2.60		
11	3,001 to 10,000 Gallons	3.10		
12	Over 10,000 Gallons	3.10		
	1" Motor (Non Pagidantial)			
13	1" Meter (Non-Residential) 1 to 32,000 Gallons	\$2.60		
	Over 32,000 Gallons	3.10		
14	Over 32,000 danons	5.10		
15	1 ½" Meter (All Classes)			
	1 to 106,000 Gallons	\$2.60		
16	Over 106,000 Gallons	3.10		
	0 voi 100,000 Gaileile			
17	2" Meter (All Classes)			
18	1 to 195,000 Gallons	\$2.60		
	Over 195,000 Gallons	3.10		
19				
20	3" Meter (All Classes)			
20	1 to 434,000 Gallons	\$2.60		
21	Over 434,000 Gallons	3.10		
22	4" Meter (All Classes)	** **		
22	1 to 545,000 Gallons	\$2.60		
23	Over 545,000 Gallons	3.10		
24				
21	6" Meter (All Classes)	40.60		
25	1 to 755,000 Gallons	\$2.60		
	Over 755,000 Gallons	3.10		
26	0234 4 (411 61			
27	8" Meter (All Classes)	\$2.60		
21	1 to 910,000 Gallons	3.10		
28	Over 910,000 Gallons	3.10		
		•	DECIGION NO	
	$oldsymbol{1}_{i_1}$, which is the state of $oldsymbol{1}_{i_1}$	3	DECISION NO	

1	Standpipe Water (Not Indiv	dually Assigned`		
2	All Usage, Per 1,000 Gallons	CHICARY A SAMMAN	\$3.10	
3	SERVICE LINE & METER	INSTALLATIO!	N CHARGES:	
	(Refundable pursuant to A.A.C	. R14-2-405)		
4	Serv		5 7 . 1	
5	li	ine Meter	Total	
Ĭ	Cha		Charge	
6	5/8" x ³ / ₄ " Meter \$445	· ·	\$600.00	
7	³ / ₄ " Meter 445		700.00 Cost	
7	1	Cost Cost	Cost	
8		ost Cost	Cost	
°	n —		Cost	ł
9		Cost Cost		
	Current	Cost Cost	Cost	·
10	6" Meter C	Cost Cost	Cost	
1 1	SERVICE CHARGES:			
11	Establishment		\$20.00	
12			\$40.00	
-	Reconnection (Delinquent)		\$20.00	
13	Meter Test (If Correct)		\$30.00	
			*	
14	Deposit Interest		*	
15		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	**	
15	NSF Check	108.)	\$15.00	
16			1.50%	
•	Meter Re-Read (If Correct)		\$15.00	·
17	Late Payment Penalty (per mor	nth)	1.50%	
			At Cost	
18	After Hours Service Charge	omer request,	\$50.00	
19	1		Ψ20.00	
19	MONTHLY SERVICE CHA	DCE FOD FIDI	CODINKLED.	
20	All Meter Sizes	MGE FOR FINI	***	
	All Weter Sizes			
21	* Per Commission rule A	A C P14.2-4030	'R)	,
22	N .			Commission rule A A C P14.2
22		system times mor	nuniy minimum, pe	er Commission rule A.A.C. R14-2-
23	403(D).	···· fail a aammana	hly sized motor of	onnection, but no less than \$10.00
2.5		im for a compara	on sized meter co	onnection, but no less than \$10.00 is only applicable for service lines
24	separate and distinct from	om the primary w	ater service line.	is only applicable for service fines
	All items hilled at cost			arts and all applicable taxes.
25	All items blifed at cost	siiaii iiiciude iabo.	r, materials, and pe	ats and an approacte taxes.
26	IT IS ELIDTUED ODD	IEPED that the ra	ites and charges se	et forth above shall be effective for
	II IS FURTHER ORD	EKED mai me ia	ites and charges se	t form above shan be effective for
27	all services rendered by Little	Park Water Comp	any Inc. on and aft	ter August 1, 2010.

DECISION NO.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Little Park Water Company Inc. shall notify its customers		
2	of the revised schedule of rates and charges authorized herein by means of an insert in its next		
3	3 regularly scheduled billing, or by separate mailing, in a form acceptable to the	e Commission's Utilities	
4	4 Division Staff.		
5	5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Little Park Water Company Inc	c. shall use, on a going-	
6	6 forward basis, the depreciation rates delineated in Table H-1 of the Engineer	ing Report portion of the	
7	February 18, 2010, Staff Report in this matter.		
8	8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective	e immediately.	
9	9 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMM	ISSION.	
10	10		
11	11		
12	12 CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER	
13	13		
14	14 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	
15	15		
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ER Executive Director of the Arizona C	NEST G. JOHNSON,	
17	have hereunto set my hand and cause Commission to be affixed at the Capito	d the official seal of the	
18	this day of, 20	10.	
19	19		
20	20 ERNEST G. JOHNSON		
21	EXPLISIT G. JOHNSON EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR		
22	DISSENT		
23	DISSENI		
24	24		
25	DISSENI		
26			
27			
28			
40	20		
	15 DECIS	ION NO.	

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY INC.
2	DOCKET NO.:	W-02192A-09-0531
3		
4	Steve Gudovic LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY	
5	45 Castle Rock Road, No. 4 Sedona, AZ 86351	
6	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division	
7	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	ON
8	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
9	Steve Olea, Director Utilities Division	
10	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	ON
11	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		